In response to the Office Action, dated March 28, 2005, Applicant respectfully

requests reconsideration of the prior art rejection set forth by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §

102. Applicant submits that the reference of record fails to either teach or suggest Applicant's

presently claimed invention.

Applicants have amended the claims to more clearly define the invention. All the

claims specifically recite, in pertinent part, that the harness attachment comprises a first

element and a second element to receive a dorsal harness strap of an independent, separate

pet harness, wherein said pet harness is unattached to (or separate from) and does not

comprise its own pack or coat attachment apparatus.

The present invention is far superior to the present dog pack and coat systems which

incorporate their own limited harness elements or require that the harness contain its own

attachment mechanism to the accessories. Specifically, the present invention describes that

the harness attachment comprises two elements which may be attached to form a

substantially tubular receiver to receive a dorsal harness strap of the pet harness even when

the harness itself lacks an attachment mechanism. Significantly, a pet owner can quickly and

securely attach the present invention to any existing harness. Consequently, the pet owner

need not buy a special harness with an accessory attachment mechanism and its

corresponding accessories the are able to mate with the specific attachment mechanism.

There is simply no teaching or suggestion whatsoever concerning the use of a set of pet

interchangeable accessories which simply and securely attach, via a novel harness

attachment, to currently available pet harnesses in the prior art.

7

Appl. No. 10/677,571

Amdt. Dated June 28, 2005

Reply to Office Action of March 28, 2005

The reference of record fail to teach or suggest these advances in the art. Dost et al.,

U.S. Patent No. 6,637,367, is directed to a pack for carrying a foldable bed which includes an

attachment mechanism that mates with a corresponding attachment apparatus of the harness.

See Col. 2, line 66- Col. 3, line 1; Col. 3, lines 35-37. Additionally, the Dost et al. pack

comprises an auxiliary strap that is equipped with a buckle that may be fastened about the

harness' neck strap. Col. 3, lines 24-27. This is simply different from the present invention

which comprises a harness attachment that may be attached to a dorsal strap of any harness

including harnesses without specially designed attachment apparatus.

Furthermore, Dost et al. provides that the harness includes a D-ring at a front edge of

the harness for coupling the harness to a leash. As seen in figures 2 and 8, this D-ring

extends away from an outside edge of the pack to allow a leash to be attached. The Dost et

al. pack simply does not provide that the pack comprises an opening through which leash

attachment that is positioned away from the edge of the harness may be accessed.

Applicant respectfully submits that all claims now stand in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted

Date: June 28, 2005

(Reg. #26,494)

Todd S. Parkhurst
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLC

131 S. Dearborn, 30th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60603

Tel: (312) 263-3600

Attorney for Applicant

8

Appl. No. 10/677,571 Amdt. Dated June 28, 2005 Reply to Office Action of March 28, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States

Postal Service as Express Mail on June 28, 2005 in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Attorney for Applicants

3024143_v1